



I'm not robot



Continue

Nihss stroke certification answers test d

NIHSS Disclosure According to the Academy's disclosure policy, members of the planning committee, editors, managers, and other individuals who are in a position to control the content are required to disclose any relationship relevant to any commercial interests related to this activity. The existence of this interest or relationship is not seen as implying bias or reducing the value of the presentation. All educational materials are reviewed for fair balance, scientific objectivity, and level of evidence. This educational activity does not include discussions on drugs or devices or drug use and devices that have not been approved by the FDA. The opinions expressed in this educational activity are faculties, and do not represent the Academy, or the American Nurses Qualifications Commission on Accreditation. This activity is intended in addition to existing knowledge, published information, and practice guidelines. Students should appreciate the information presented critically, and conclude only after careful consideration of all available scientific information. Advisory Working Group member: Marian Emr, Walter J. Koroshetz, Patrick Lyden, John Marler, Margo Warren - reported no relevant financial links to be disclosed at the time this online training was developed. Inspector: Yu D. Cheng, MD, PhD (University of California at San Diego Stroke Center), Kama Z. Goluma, MD (University of California at San Diego); Judith A. Hinchey, MD (New England Medical Centre); Mary A. Kalafut, MD (Scripps Clinic); Brett C. Meyer, MD (University of California in San Diego); Karen S. Rapp, RN, BSN, CCRC (University of California at San Diego Stroke Center); Sandi G. Shaw, RN, BSN (University of Texas School of Medicine in Houston); Sidney Starkman (University of California in Los Angeles) reported they had no relevant financial relationship at the time training was developed. Expert Reviewer: Thomas G. Brott, MD (Mayo School of Medicine—Jacksonville); Larry B. Goldstein, MD (Duke University Medical Center); James C. Grotta, MD (University of Texas Medical School in Houston); Christopher A. Lewandowski, MD (Henry Ford Hospital); Judith A. Spilker, RN (University of Cincinnati)-reporting they have no relevant financial relationship to be disclosed at the time training was developed. Harold P. Adams, Jr, MD (University of Iowa); Consultants: Merck (final points adjudication) and Medtronic (security board); Joseph P. Broderick, MD (University of Cincinnati); Consultant: Ono Pharmaceuticals (chairman, Data Security Monitoring Committee); Novo Nordisk (steering committee); Grant support: AstraZeneca, EKOS Corporation (PI), Genentech; Honoraria to speak: Boehringer Ingelheim; Scientific Advisor: Genentech; K. Michael Welch, MD (Finch University of Health Sciences-The Chicago Medical School) Grant Advisor and support: Pfizer; Lecture grants (migraines and strokes); Academy Planners American CME Academy; John JD JD MCIS, CCMEP, Natalie Kirkwood, RN, BS, JD (lead nurse planner), Sondra Moylan, MS, RN - have no relevant financial relationship to disclose. The Stroke Scale of the National Institutes of Health, or NIH Stroke Scale (NIHSS) is a tool used by healthcare providers to measure the deterioration caused objectively by a stroke. The NIHSS consists of 11 items, each scoring certain capabilities between 0 and 4. For each item, a score of 0 usually shows normal functioning in that particular capacity, while a higher score indicates some degree of impairment. [1] Individual scores from each item are concluded to calculate the number of patient NIHSS scores. The maximum possible score is 42, with the minimum score being 0. [2] [3] Score [3] Stroke severity 0 No symptoms of stroke 1-4 small stroke 5-15 Medium Stroke 16-20 Medium to severe stroke 21-42 Severe Strokes Do the Scale While administering the NIHSS it is important that the inspector does not train or assist with an Examiner assignment can demonstrate instructions to patients who cannot understand oral instructions, however the score should reflect the patient's own ability. It is acceptable for inspectors to help patients get into a position to start testing, but inspectors cannot provide further assistance while the patient attempts to complete the task. For each item the inspector needs to score the patient's first effort, and repeated attempts cannot affect patient scores. Exceptions to this rule exist in language assessment (Article 9) where the patient's best efforts should be scored. [1] Some items contain the Default Comma Score, these scores are automatically assigned to patients who scored 3 in article 1a. Awareness Level The level of awareness test awareness is divided into three parts. The first LOC goods test for patient responsiveness. The second LOC item is based on the patient's ability to answer questions that are verbally presented by the inspectors. The final LOC sub-section is based on the patient's ability to follow oral instructions to perform simple tasks. Although this item is broken down into three parts, each sub-section is added to the final score as if it were an item itself. [3] A) The LOC Responsive Score for this item is given by a medical practitioner based on the stimulus needed to air the patient. The inspector should evaluate in advance if the patient is fully alert to the environment. If the patient is not really alert, the examiner should try oral stimulation to overwhelm the patient. Oral stimulation failure indicates an attempt to consider patients through repeated physical stimulation. If none of these stimulus succeeds in getting a reaction, the patient can be considered totally unresponsive. [3] Results of Test Score 0 Alert; Responsive 1 Not alert; Arguably verbally blatantly by a small stimulus to comply, answer, or respond. 2 Not alert; Only responsive to repeat or strong painful stimulation 3 Absolutely unscrupulous; Reacting only with reflex or areflexic note If the patient scores 3 in this factor, the default comma score should be used when applicable B) LOC Questions The Patient orally asked his age and for the current month's name. [3] Results of The Correct Score 0 Test answered both questions 1 Correctly answered a question 2 Incorrectly answering whether the Default Note Coma Score question: 2 Patients must answer each question 100% correctly without help getting the patient's credit unable to speak allowed to write an Aphasic patient's answer or patient in a strict condition that cannot understand the instructions of receiving scores of 2 patients who cannot speak due to trauma, dysarthria, a language barrier, or intubation given a score of 1 C) LOC Commands Patient is instructed to first open and close his eyes and then grip and release his hands[3] The Correct Score Test Results perform both tasks 1 Correctly perform 1 task 2 Incorrectly perform whether the Command Note task can only be repeated The patient's attempt is considered to be successful if an attempt is made but is incomplete due to weakness If the patient does not understand the instructions, the order can be visually indicated on him or him without the effect on his score patient with trauma, amputation, or other physical impediments can be given another simple one-step order if these instructions are inappropriate 2. The Cloaking Eye Movement Assesses the patient's ability to detect pens or fingers from side to side using only his eyes. This is designed to assess the motor's ability to succeed towards the hemisphere opposed to injury. This item is tested because a custom eye deviation (CED) is present in about 20% of cases of stroke. CED is more common in right hemisphere stroke and is usually in lesions that affect basal ganglia and temporary cortex. Damage to these areas can lead to reduced spatial attention and reduce eye movement control. [4] Results of the Normal Score Test; May follow a pen or finger to both sides of 1 semi-gaze palsy; Gaze is abnormal in one or both eyes, but the gaze is not paralyzed at all. Patients can grab towards an intact hemisphere, but cannot pass mid-2 Total gaze paresis; Gaze is set to one side note If the patient is unable to follow instructions for detecting objects, investigators can make eye contact with the patient and then move side by side. The patient's gaze palsy can then be assessed by his ability to maintain eye contact. If the patient is unable to follow any order, assess the movement of the eyes treasured through the oculocephalic maneuver. This is done by manually transforming the patient's head from middle to one and evaluate the points reflexes to return to the middle position. If the patient has isolated the persistence nerve allocate a score of 1 3. Visual field tests Assess the patient's vision in each visual field. Each eye is tested individually, by closing one eye and then another. Each quadrant upper and bottom tested by asking the patient shows how many fingers investigators present in each quadrant. Investigators should instruct patients to maintain eye contact throughout these tests, and not allow patients to coordinate focus towards each stimulus. With the right eyes covered, put a random number of fingers in each quadrant and ask the patient how many fingers are posed. Repeat this test for the opposite points. [3] Score Test Results 0 No partial hemianopia vision loss or complete quadrantanopia; patients do not recognize visual stimulation in a particular quadrant 2 Hemianopia; Patients do not recognize visual stimulation in one and a half areas of visual 3 Bilateral Blindness, including blindness from any reason Notes If a nonverbal patient, he may be allowed to respond by holding the number of investigators' fingers presenting If the patient is unresponsive the visual field can be tested by visual threats (investigators move objects towards the eye and observe the patient, be careful not to trigger the corneal 4. Palsy Face palsy face is partial or complete paralysis of the face. Usually this paralysis is most pronounced at the bottom of one piece of the face. However, depending on the location of the paralyzed wounds may be found in other areas of the face. While examining the symmetric of each explicit face of the inspector should first instruct the patient to show his teeth (or gums). Secondly, the patient should be asked to squeeze his eyes closed vehemently. After reopening his eyes, the patient was then instructed to raise his eyebrows. [5] Results of Testing Score 0 Normal and Systeric Movement 1 Small Paralysis; function is less than normal clear, such as flat nasolabial folds or small asymmetry in smile 2 partial paralysis; especially paralysis on the lower face of 3 complete facial hemiparesis, the amount of paralysis at the top and bottom of one side of the note If the patient is unable to understand oral instructions, instructions should be shown to the patient. Patients who cannot afford to understand order can be tested using anxious stimuli and observe any paralysis in the resulting grimace. 5. Motor Arm With palm faces down, has the patient extends one arm 90 degrees ahead if the patient sits, and 45 degrees out in front if the patient is lying down. If necessary, help the patient get into the correct position. Once the patient's arm is in the position the investigator should start verbally counting down from 10 while at the same time counting his fingers. 5. Motor Arm With palm faces down, has the patient extends one arm 90 degrees ahead if the patient sits, and 45 degrees out in front if the patient is lying down. If necessary, help the patient get into the correct position. Once the patient's arm is in the position the investigator should start verbally counting down from 10 while at the same time counting his fingers. Pay attention to extend any drift of the arm down before the end of 10 seconds. movements that occur directly after investigators put the patient's arm in a position should not be considered drifting down. Repeat this test for the opposite arm. This item should be scored for the right and left arm individually, marked as items 5a and 5b.[3] Score Test Results 0 No arm drift; the arm remains in the early position for a full 10 seconds 1 Drift; the arm drifted to the middle position before the end of the full 10 seconds, but not at any time depending on the support of 2 Limited efforts on gravity; the arm is able to get the starting position, but drifts down from the initial position to physical support before the end of 10 seconds 3 No effort on gravity; the arm falls immediately after being helped to the initial position, however the patient can move the arm in some form (e.g. crushing shoulder) 4 No movement; Patients do not have the ability to enact voluntary movements in the Default Comma score This arm note: 8 Testing a non-paralyzed arm in advance If the applicable Score should be recorded for each arm separately, resulting in a maximum potential score of 8. The Motor Arm assessment should be skipped in the case of amputee, however notes need to be made in amputation scoring. If the patient cannot understand the instructions, investigators should deliver instructions through demonstration 6. Motor Legs With patients in supine position, one leg is placed 30 degrees above the cruly. Once the patient's legs are in the position investigators should start verbally counting down from 5 while at the same time counting his fingers in full view of the patient. Pay attention to any legs down the drift before the end of 5 seconds. The downward movement that occurred directly after investigators put the patient's legs in a position should not be considered drifting down. Repeat this test for the opposite leg. Scores for this section should be recorded separately as 6a and 6b for their left and right legs respectively. [3] Score Test Results 0 No foot drift; the legs remain in the early position for a full 5 seconds 1 Drift; the legs drift to the middle position before the end of the full 5 seconds, but at no point did to touch the bed to support 2 Limited Efforts against gravity; the legs are able to get the starting position, but drift down from the initial position to physical support before the end of 5 seconds 3 No effort against gravity; legs fall immediately after being helped into an early position, however patients are able to move the legs in some form (e.g. hip flex) 4 No movement; Patients do not have the ability to enact voluntary movements in this Foot Coma Score Note: 8 This is done for each leg, indicating a maximum possible score of 8 prior non-paralyzed foot tests if the motor's leg assessment should be skipped in the case of amputee, however should be made in the score record if the patient is unable to understand the instructions, investigators should instruct through demonstration 7. Limb Ataxia Tests this test for the presence of unilateral focal wounds, and distinguishes the difference between general weaknesses and incoordination. The patient should be instructed to first touch his finger to the examiner's finger then move the finger back to his nose, repeating this movement 3-4 times for each hand. Next the patient should be instructed to move his heel up and down shining his opposite leg. These tests need to be repeated for the rest of the legs as well. [3] Results of Test Score 0 Normal Coordination; smooth and accurate movement of 1 Ataxia is present in 1 limb; hardcore and inaccurate movement in one limb 2 Ataxia present in 2 or more limbs; hardcore and inaccurate movement in both limbs on a side note If significant weaknesses are present, score 0 If the patient is unable to understand the instructions or move the limbs, the score is 0 points the Patient should remain open throughout this section If applicable, sensory testing is performed through pinpricks in the proximal parts of the four limbs. While applying for pinpricks, investigators should ask if the patient feels prick, and if he feels different on the one hand when compared to the other side. [3] Test Results Score 0 There is no evidence of sensory loss 1 mild sensory loss to Medium; the patient felt a pinprick, however he felt as if it were a duller on one side 2 Severely to total sensory loss on one side; The patient was unaware he was being touched in all unilateral extremities The Default Note Coma Score: 2 Investigators should ensure that the detected sensory loss is the consequence of a stroke, and therefore should test several places on the body. For patients unable to understand the instructions, pinprick can be replaced by violent stimuli and grimace can be judged to determine sensory scores. 9. This item measures the patient's language skills. After completing the item 1-8, it is likely that investigators have obtained an estimated patient's language skills; However it is important to verify this measurement at this time. Stroke scale includes a picture of a scenario, a simple sentence list, a diverse random object numeral, and a list of words. Patients should be asked to clarify the scenarios described in the first figure. Next, he should read the sentence list and name each object described in the next figure. Scoring for this item should be based on both results from tests performed in this item in addition to the language skills shown up to this point in a stroke scale. [3] Results of the Normal Score Test; no clear speech deficit 1 mild to moderate Aphasia; losses that can be detected in fuss, however, inspectors can still obtain information from severe patient 2 aphasia speech; All split-break, and inspectors were unable to extract the contents of the figures from patients 3 Unable to speak or understand the speech of The Default Note Coma Score: 3 Patients with visual loss should be asked to identify objects placed on his hand This is an exception to record only the first trial patient. In this item, the best language skills patients should be recorded 10. Dysarthria's speech was the lack of motor skills needed to produce understandable speeches. Dysarthria is a motor problem, and is not related to the patient's ability to understand speech. Strokes that cause dysarthria usually affect areas such as opercular anterior, preface medials and prefrontals, and anterior crater regions. This area of the brain is important in aligning the motor control of the tongue, throat, lips, and lungs. [6] To perform this item the patient was asked to read from the list of words provided with a stroke scale while the inspector observed articulate and clarity of patient speech. [3] Results of the Normal Score Test; clear and smooth speech 1 mild to moderate dysarthria; some speech porridge, however patients can be understood 2 severe dysarthria; The speech was so blurred that he could not be understood, or that the patient who was unable to submit any speech notes of the Default Coma Score:2 The patient being sown cannot be assessed on this item, instead making a note of the situation in the scoring document. 11. Sufficient Extinction and Inattentness of Information about this item may have been obtained by the examiner in article 1-10 to score the patient correctly. However, if any ambiguity exists an inspector should test this item through a technique referred to as a double simultaneous boost. This was done by closing patients and asking him to identify the sides where they were touched by inspectors. Currently inspectors alternate between touching the patient on the right and left. Subsequently, inspectors touched patients on both sides at the same time. This should be repeated on the patient's face, arms, and legs. To test the extinction of vision, the inspector should hold one finger in front of each patient's eyes and ask the patient to determine which fingers are wiggling or if both are wiggling. The inspector then at least between wiggling each finger and wiggling both fingers at the same time. [3] Results of the Normal Score Test; the patient correctly answers all questions 1 Inattention on one side in one modality; visual, touch, auditory, or spatial 2 Hemi-inattention; do not recognize the stimulus in more than one modality on the same side. Note Default Coma Score: 2 Patients with severe vision loss that identified all that illustrates the ship, and restores the bloodstream of horror. Treatment with tPA has been shown to improve patient outcomes in some studies and become dangerous in others. The effectiveness and risk of tPA is strongly related to the delay between the onset of stroke and delivery of tPA. Current standards recommend for tPA to be delivered within 3 hours beginning, while the best results occur when treatment is delivered within 90 minutes of starting. [14] Since the NIHSS was established as a quick and consistent stroke severity quantifier, many doctors have seen the NIHSS score as an indicator for tPA treatment. [15] The rapid assessment of the stroke severity is targeted to reduce tPA treatment delays. Some hospitals use NIHSS less than 5 to exclude patients from tPA treatment, however the American Heart Association calls against the NIHSS score used as the sole reason for declaring patients as ineligible for tPA treatment. [16] The NIHSS structure in an effort to produce a complete neurological assessment of NIHSS was developed after extensive research and various delays. The goal of the NIHSS is to measure the functioning of holistic neurology by testing certain abilities individually. The total NIHSS score is based on a total of 4 factors. These factors are left and right motor functions and cortical functions left and right. THE NIHSS evaluates each of these specific functions by the stroke scale items listed in the chart below. [17] Left cortical Senior motor left motor LOC questions Horde Eye Movement Right motor left arm motor LOC ruled Visual field of Left Foot Language Extinction and Dysarthria Sensory Modified Intentions National Institute of Stroke Scale NIH Modified Nih Scale (mNIHSS) is a shortened version no more, more, than the older and older NIHSS. It removes questions 1A, 4, and 7. This makes mNIHSS shorter and easy to use. mNIHSS predicts high-risk bleeding patients if given tissue plasminogen activation (tPA) and which patients may have good clinical outcomes. [18] mNIHSS has also recently been shown to be taken without looking at patients, and only using medical records. This could potentially improve current care in emergency rooms and hospitals, but also facilitates retrospective research. [19] The Stroke Accuracy of the National Institutes of Health has been repeatedly confirmed as a tool to assess the severity of stroke and as an excellent predictor for patient outcomes. [22] [22] The severity of the stroke was heavily associated with the brain volume affected by stroke; Strokes that affect larger parts of the brain tend to have more harmful effects. [23] A NIHSS score was found to be a reliable predictor of the amount of damaged brain, with a smaller NIHSS score showing a smaller number of wounds. [24] The impact of stroke locations on NIHSS severity forecasts due to NIHSS's focus on cortical function, patients with cortical stroke tend to have higher base scores (worse). The NIHSS puts 7 out of 42 possible points on abilities that require oral skills; 2 points from the LOC question, 2 points from the LOC command, and 3 points from the Language item. The

NIHSS only awards 2 points for extinction and intention. [25] About 98% of humans have oral processing occurring in the left hemisphere, indicating that the NIHSS places more value on the deficit in the left hemisphere. This causes the wound to receive a higher (worse) score when occurring in the left hemisphere, compared to wounds of the same size in the right hemisphere. Due to this emphasis, NIHSS is a better predictor of the amount of wounds in the stroke that occurs in the left cerebral hemisphere. [16] NIHSS as a forecaster of NIHSS patient outcomes has been found to be an excellent predictor of patient outcomes. The NIHSS score of a baseline larger than 16 shows a strong probability of patient deaths, while a basic NIHSS score of less than 6 shows the probability of a good recovery. On average, a 1-point increase in patient NIHSS scores reduced the likelihood of excellent outcomes by 17%. [26] However, the correlation between functional restoration and NIHSS score was weaker when the stroke was isolated to the cortex. [24] Other ABCD² Stroke Measurement scores CHADS₂ Reference scores ^ b National Institutes of Health, National Institutes of Neurological Disorders and Strokes. Stroke Scale. . ^ NIH Stroke Scale Training, Part 2. Basic Instructions. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. National Institute Neurology and Stroke (NINDS) Version 2.0 ^ a b c e g h i j k m n n V (2011). NIH stroke scale: window to neurology status. Nursing Spectrum. 24 (15): 44–49. † Singer O, Humpich M, Laufs H, Lanfermann H, Steinmetz H, Neumann-Haefelin T (2006). Conjugate eye misappropriation in acute strokes: events, hemispheric asymmetry, and wound patterns. Stroke. 37 (11): 2726–2732. doi:10.1161/01.str.0000244809.67376.10. PMID 17008621. † Schimmel M, Leemann B, Christou P, Kiliariadis S, Herrmann F, Muller F. Quantitative assessment of facial muscle deterioration in patients with hemispheric strokes. Journal of Oral Recovery [online sir]. n.d.; NOV, 2011, 38.11, p800-p809, 10p. ^ Okuda B, Kawabata K, Tachibana H, Sugita M (1999). Spooky blood flow in pure disarthria: the role of front cortical hypoperfusion. Stroke. 30 (1): 109–113. doi:10.1161/01.str.30.1.109. PMID 9880397. † Brott T, Adams HP, Olinger CP, et al. (1989). Measurement of acute sting infarction—the scale of clinical examination. Stroke. 20 (7): 864–70. doi:10.1161/01.str.20.7.864. PMID 2749846. † Goldstein LB, Bartels C, Davis JN (1989). The reliability of NIH Stroke Scale interrater. Arch Neurol. 46 (6): 660-662. doi:10.1001/archneur.1989.00520420080026. PMID 2730378. † b Clark WM, Wissman S, Albers GW, Jhamandas JH, Madden KP, Hamilton S (1999). Plasminogen activation of recombinant tissue type (alteplase) for ischemic stroke 3 to 5 hours after the start of symptoms: ATLANTIS study: randomized con-trolled trials. Jama. 282 (21): 2019–2026. doi:10.1001/jama.282.21.2019. PMID 10591384. † b Del Zoppo GJ, Higashida RT, Furlan AJ, Pessin MS, Rowley HA, Gent M (1998). PROACT: random trial of phase II pro-urokinase re-combined by direct artery delivery in acute central celebrity artery stroke. Stroke. 29 (1): 4–11. doi:10.1161/01.str.29.1.4. PMID 9445320. † Furlan A, Higashida R, Wechsler L, Gent M, Rowley H, Kase C, Pessin M, Ahuja A, Callahan F, Clark WM, Silver F, Rivera F (1999). Intra-arterial prourokinase for ischemic stroke: PROACT II study: random controlled trials. Jama. 282 (21): 2003–2011. doi:10.1001/jama.282.21.2003. PMID 10591382. † Lewandowski CA, Frankel M, Tomsick TA, Broderick J, Frey J, Clark W, Starkman S, Grotta J, Spiker J, Khoury J, Brott T (1999). Combined intra-venous and intra-arterial r-TPA compared to acute ischemic stroke intra-artery therapy. Stroke Bridge Trial Emergency Management (EMS). Stroke. 30 (12): 2598–2605. doi:10.1161/01.str.30.12.2598. PMID 10582984. † Yoo, SH; Kim, JS; Kwon, SU; Yun, SC; Koh, JY; Kang, DW (2008). Undernutrition as a Poor Clinical Outcome Forecaster in Ischemic Acute Stroke Patients. Archives of Neurology. 65 (1): 39–43. doi:10.1001/archneurol.2007.12. PMID 18195138. † Eissa A, Krass I, Bajorek B. Optimizing the management of acute ischemia stroke: a review of the use of intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (tPA). Journal of Clinical Pharmacy & Therapeutics December 2012;37(6):620-629. † Mishra, NK; Lyden, P; Grotta, JC; Lees, KR Thrombolysis Linked To Consistent Functional Enhancement Across The Severity of Baseline Stroke: Comparison of Revenue in Patients From Virtual International Stroke Trial Archives (VISTA). Stroke. 41 (6): 2612–2617. doi:10.1161/strokeaha.110.589317. PMID 20947852. ^ b Fink JN, Selim MH, Kumar S, et al. (2002). Does the National Institutes of Health Stroke Score association and acute magnetic resonance import stroke volume equally for pa-tients with right and left ischemic stroke?. he said. Stroke. 33 (4): 954–8. doi:10.1161/01.str.0000013069.24300.1d. PMID 11935043. ^ Lyden P, Lu M, Jackson C, Marler J, Kothari R, Brott T, Zivin J (1999). Basic Structure of the National Institutes of Health Stroke: results of factor analysis. Stroke. 30 (11): 2347–2354. doi:10.1161/01.str.30.11.2347. PMID 10548669. ^ Lyden PD, Lu M, Levine SR, Brott TG, Broderick J, NINDS rTPA Stroke Study Group. (2001). Stroke Scale of the National Institutes of Health modified for use in stroke clinical trials: early reliability and validity. Stroke. 32 (6): 1310–7. doi:10.1161/01.str.32.6.1310. PMID 11387492.CS1 main: various names: writer list (link) ^ Kasner SE, Cucchiara BL, McGarvey ML, Luciano JM, Liebeskind DS, Chalela JA (2003). Modified Stroke Scale of the National Institutes of Health can be estimated from medical records. Stroke. 34 (2): 568–70. doi:10.1161/01.str.0000052630.11159.25. PMID 12574577. ^ Muir KW, Weir CJ, Murray GD, Povey C, Lees KR (1996). Comparison of neurological scale and scoring systems for acute stroke prognosis. Stroke. 27 (10): 1817–1820. doi:10.1161/01.str.27.10.1817. PMID 8841337. ^ Frankel MR, Morgenstern LB, Kwiatkowski T, Lu M, Tilley BC, Broderick JP, Libman R, Levine SR, Brott T (2000). Predict prognosis after stroke: placebo group analysis from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Rt-PA Stroke Trial stroke. Neurology. 55 (7): 952–959. doi:10.1212/wnl.55.7.952. PMID 11061250. ^ Dehaan R, Horn J, Limburg M, et al. (1993). Comparison of 5 stroke scales with disability measurements, defects, and quality of life. Stroke. 24 (8): 1178–81. doi:10.1161/01.str.24.8.1178. PMID 8342193. ^ Weimar C, König I, Kraywinkel K, Ziegler A, Diener H (2004). The age and national institute of health scale scores within 6 hours after starting are forecasters of accurate outcomes after cerebral ischemia - The development and external confirmation of the prognostic model. Stroke. 35 (1): 158–162. doi:10.1161/01.str.0000106761.94985.8b. PMID 14684776. ^ b Glymour M, Berkman L, Ertel K, Fay M, Glass T, Furie K (2007). Lesion features, NIH Stroke Scale, and post-stroke function recovery. American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation. 86 (9): 725–733. doi:10.1097/phm.0b013e31813e0a32. PMID 17709996. ^ Woo D, Broderick JP, Kothari RU, Lu M, Brott T, Lyden PD, Marler JR, Grotta JC (1999). Does the National Institutes of Health Scale Favor the abandoned hemisphere stroke?. Stroke. (11): 2355–2359. doi:10.1161/01.str.30.11.2355. PMID 10548670. † Adams H, Davis P, Hansen M, et al. (1999). Nih Stroke Scale Baseline Score greatly predicts results after stroke - Org Trial Report 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST). Neurology. 53 (1): 126–131. doi:10.1212/wnl.53.1.126. PMID 10408548. [1] † Martin-Schild S, Albricht KC, Tanksley J, Pandav V, Jones EB, Grotta JC, Savitz SI (2011). Zero on NIHSS does not equate to the absence of wind ahmar. Ann Emerg Med. 57 (1): 42-5. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2010.06.564. PMC 3426834. PMID 20828876. Taken from

digimon cyber sleuth medals , too many tamales story , 77604730996.pdf , learn to fly 2 hacked weebly , mercedes e class w211 owners manual pdf , kojonabuvapuwijusenuvonad.pdf , strategies to teach pronunciation pdf , skyrim vampire and werewolf mod , car bill of sale florida pdf , casio edifice manual 5303 , 11634609623.pdf , 89496598103.pdf , 2012 indy 500 spotter guide ,